PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	28	FEB	2005	
MIDO			PCT	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

		_					
Applicant's or agent's file reference FR920030013/GIT	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. International filing PCT/EP2004/002398 13.02.2004		(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 27.03.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) of G06F17/22, G06F17/27	or national classification and	IPC .					
Applicant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS M	ACHINES CORPORAT	TON et al.					
This report is the international Authority under Article 35 and	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2. This REPORT consists of a tot							
3. This report is also accompanie							
			as follows:				
 a. sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows: sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). 							
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.							
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
☑ Box No. I Basis of the o	pinion						
☐ Box No. II Priority	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	ment of opinion with reas	rd to novelty inventive	step and industrial applicability				
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity		ad to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability				
☑ Box No. V Reasoned sta applicability; o							
☐ Box No. VI Certain docur	ments cited						
	ts in the international appl						
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of th	is report				
19.04.2004		01.03.2005					
Name and malling address of the internati preliminary examining authority:	onal	Authorized Officer					
European Patent Office - Gi D-10958 Berlin Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840	itschiner Str. 103	Triest, J Telephone No. +49 30 2	15901-431				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/002398

_	Box No. I	Basis of the rep	ort			
1.	With regard filed, unless	to the language,	this report is based of ted under this item.	on the international application in the language in which it was		
	which is inter publ	s the language of national search (l ication of the inte	a translation furnishe under Rules 12.3 and rnational application (original language into the following language, ed for the purposes of: i 23.1(b)) (under Rule 12.4) er Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2.	nave been t	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which</i> have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):				
	Description,	Pages				
	1-17		as originally filed	·		
	Claims, Num	bers				
	1-10		as originally filed			
	Drawings, SI	heets				
	1/2-2/2		as originally filed	,		
	□ a seque	ence listing and/or	any related table(s)	- see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.	 □ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: □ the description, pages □ the claims, Nos. □ the drawings, sheets/ligs □ the sequence listing (specify): □ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): 					
4.	Supplement the country the country the country	n made, since the all Box (Rule 70.2) description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets firequence listing (sequence list	ey have been conside (c)). ; igs	of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below ared to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ecify):		
	* If ite	m 4 applies,	some or all of	these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-6,9-10

No: Claims

1,7,8

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

5-6

No: Claims

1-4,7-10

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

PCT/EP2004/002398

Re Item I.

The examination is being carried out on the following application documents:

Description, Pages

1-17

as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-10

as originally filed

Drawings, Sheets

1/2-2/2

as originally filed

Re Item V.

1

The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: FR 2 826 753 A (CANON KK) 3 January 2003 (2003-01-03)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

a method for optimizing tag based protocol stream parsing (cf. page 4, lines 8-11), using a reference tag table comprising at least one tag and a corresponding function name (cf. page 4, lines 12-16), said method comprising, each time a tag is read from

said tag based protocol stream (cf. page 4, lines 19-20), the steps of:

- comparing said read tag and the tags of said reference tag table and (cf. page 4, lines 21-22; fig. 1, step E21),
- if said read tag belongs to said reference tag table, determining if a function name is associated to said tag belonging to said reference tag table (*cf. page 4, lines 23-25*) and,
- if a function name is associated to said tag belonging to said reference tag table, executing the function corresponding to said function name associated to said tag belonging to said reference table (*cf. fig 1, step E29*).

These are all the features of present claim 1 which is thus not new.

DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-4, 7-8

Dependent claims 2-4 and 7-8 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT), the reasons being as follows:

Claims 2-4: Attributes to tags are well known and part of most markup languages. It is thus obvious, to include them as additional options in said reference tag table. As attibutes are normally used as parameters it is also not inventive to use their values as parameters for said functions in said reference tag table.

Claims 7-8: The additional features of claims 7-8 are also disclosed in D1 (cf. page 3, lines 15-23). They are thus not new.

DEPENDENT CLAIMS 5-6

Dependent claims 5-6 relate to specific functions that allow the parser to skip or save in memory a determined amount of data.

These features are not disclosed in the prior art and therefore novel.

The problem solved by these differentiating features is to enable systems to interpret arbitrary large files within given memory constraints.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/002398

None of the available prior art discloses or suggests to do this in a manner as described by the differentiating features. Therefore, claims 5-6 are inventive.

INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 9-10

Independent claims 9 for a system and 10 for a medium refer back to claim 2. Thus, the same reasoning as above applies.